UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 97-7313

STANLEY SHANE SMITH,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

FRANKLIN FREEMAN, Secretary of Correction; LYNN PHILLIPS, Director, Division of Prisons; GRANT M. SPICER, Western Area Administrator; REGGIE WEISNER, Assistant Western Area Administrator; FINESSE G. COUCH, Executive Direc-Inmate Grievance Committee; PHYLLIS ELLIOTT, Mental Health and Disabilities Coordinator; MARCUS HUGHES, Superintendent, Craggy Correctional Center; WADE HATLEY, Craggy Correctional Center; MARGIE LAWLER, Assistant Superintendent, Craggy Correctional Center; BILL CRESON, Inmate Special Needs Case Worker, Craggy Correctional Center; LINDA PLESS, Inmate Special Needs Case Worker, Craggy Correctional Center; CAROLINA VENCE, Inmate Caseworker, Craggy Correctional Center; JACOB MORROW, Lieutenant, Craggy Correctional Center; LEWIS DAVIS, Lieutenant, Craggy Correctional Center; MIKE BALL, Lieutenant, Craggy Correctional Center; HARRY RHODES, Lieutenant, Craggy Correctional Center; KEVIN BURRESS, Sergeant, Craggy Correctional Center; KEITH RICE, Sergeant, Craggy Correctional Center; JOHN WILSON, Sergeant, Craggy Correctional Center; BILL SHOOK, Sergeant, Craggy Correctional Center; LARRY THURSTON, Correctional Officer, Craggy Correctional Center; REGGIE DUNSTON, Correctional Officer; GARRY HAUG, Correctional Officer, Craggy Correctional Center; DONALD SHEPERD, Correctional Officer, Craggy Correctional Center,

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, at Asheville. Graham C. Mullen, District Judge. (CA-95-32-MU)

Submitted: August 13, 1998 Decided: August 28, 1998

Before WIDENER and WILKINS, Circuit Judges, and HALL, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Stanley Shane Smith, Appellant Pro Se. Elizabeth F. Parsons, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NORTH CAROLINA, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellant appeals the district court's order granting Defendants' motion to dismiss. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. Smith v. Freeman, No. CA-95-32-MU (W.D.N.C. Aug. 26, 1997). We grant Appellant's motions to consider additional legal authorities. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED